

REMARKS

Applicants' representative would like to thank Examiner Smalley for the courtesies extended during an interview conducted on July 17, 2009. During the interview, Applicants' representative presented arguments to the Examiner that the amendments in the Response filed March 20, 2009 did not change the invention beyond which was previously considered. Applicants' representative explained that because Claims 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9 recited a "cam," as filed, the cam and container were searched and elected originally. The Examiner explained that the cam recited by Claims 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9 were not searched, as the sole independent claim originally filed was directed to a "capping system" and not to a combination of a capping system and a container. The Examiner explained that because the only independent claim was directed to the sub-combination (*i.e.*, the capping system) and not to the combination (*i.e.*, the capping system and the container) that the combination was not originally elected. No agreements were reached. Furthermore, no exhibits were shown or demonstrations conducted.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8, and 11-21 are now pending in the application. By this paper, Claims 1-3 and 5-8 have been amended, Claims 11-21 have been added, and Claims 4 and 9-10 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. The basis for the foregoing amendments and new claims can be found throughout the specification, claims, and drawings originally filed. No new matter has been added. The preceding amendments and the following remarks are believed to be fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action and are believed to place the application in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to

reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hadley (U.S. Pat. No. 3,910,443).

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kutcher (U.S. Pat. No. 3,601,273).

Claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Fabrice (U.S. Pat. No. 2,113,176).

These rejections are respectfully traversed.

At the outset, Applicants respectfully submit that these rejections are moot with respect to Claims 4 and 9-10, as Claims 4 and 9-10 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Applicants respectfully submit that each of Hadley, Kutcher, and Fabrice fail to teach or suggest a sealing cap for a container including a guarantee having an area of reduced thickness in an area that surrounds a cam of a container or a guarantee including a flanged region that laterally surrounds a cam of a container.

Hadley discloses a closure cap including a metal shell (1) having a plurality of vertical score lines (4) and a peripheral score line (5). See Hadley at Col. 2, Ins. 62-68, and Col. 3, Ins. 1-16, and Figure 1. The shell (1) includes a constant cross-sectional diameter, which is received over a bottle (not labeled) having a constant cross-sectional

diameter. See Hadley at Figures 2 and 3. Because the shell (1) includes a constant cross-sectional diameter received over a bottle having a constant cross-sectional diameter in the area of the closure cap, Applicants respectfully submit that Hadley is completely silent with respect to a sealing cap including a guarantee having an area of reduced thickness or a guarantee including a flanged region.

Kutcher discloses a closure cap (9) including a cylindrical skirt (11) and a locking band (17) having four vertically disposed weakening lines (18). See Kutcher at Col. 3, Ins. 21-43 and Figure 1. The cylindrical skirt (11) and locking band (17) include a constant cross-sectional diameter including a series of threads (not labeled) that engage a series of screw threads (23) of a container neck (20). See Kutcher at Col. 3, Ins. 56-62 and Figure 3. While Kutcher discloses a container neck (20) including screw threads (23), Applicants respectfully submit that Kutcher is completely silent with respect to a sealing cap including a guarantee having an area of reduced thickness or a guarantee including a flanged region, as the cap (9) includes a substantially constant cross-sectional area.

Fabrice discloses a bottle neck (1) including a series of thread formations (2) and a cap (5) that is received over a distal end of the bottle neck (1). See Fabrice at Col. 2, Ins. 42-50 and Figures 2 and 4. The cap (5) includes a base portion (6) that is matingly received over a generally squared base of the bottle neck (1). See Fabrice at Figures 2 and 4. The Examiner asserts that Fabrice discloses a cam, as recited by independent Claim 1, as the generally squared base portion of the bottle neck (1) engages the similarly shaped base portion (6) of the cap (5). While the base portion (6) of the cap (5) matingly engages the similarly shaped base portion of the bottle neck (1), Applicants

respectfully submit that the base portion of the bottle neck (1) is not a cam, as recited by independent Claim 1. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that Fabrice is completely silent with respect to a sealing cap including a guarantee having an area of reduced thickness or a guarantee including a flanged region, as the base portion (6) includes a substantially constant wall thickness.

A cam is generally understood as including a “rotating or sliding piece that imparts motion to a roller moving against its edge or to a pin free to move in a groove on its face or that receives motion from such a roller or pin.” “Cam.” Webster’s Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary. Merriam-Webster Inc., 1986. (Copy attached) Because the base portion (6) of the cap (5) matingly engages the base portion of the bottle neck (1) and upon rotation of the cap (5) relative to the bottle neck (1), is fixed relative to the base portion of the bottle neck (1), Applicants submit that the base portion of the bottle neck (1) is not a cam, as there is no movement between the base portion (6) of the cap (5) and the base portion of the bottle neck (1). Rather, the base portion of the bottle neck (1) engages the base portion (6) of the cap (5) to *prevent* the base portion (6) of the cap (5) from moving relative to a top portion of the cap (5) to allow the base portion (6) to be severed from the top portion of the cap (5) when the cap (5) is rotated relative to the bottle neck (1).

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 1, as well as Claims 2, 3, and 5-10, dependent therefrom, are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fabrice (U.S. Pat. No. 2,113,176), as applied above to Claim 9, and further in view of Schumacher (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0127420).

Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fabrice (U.S. Pat. No. 2,113,176), as applied above to Claim 9, and further in view of Hicks (U.S. Pat. No. 807,381).

These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent Claim 1 is believed to be in condition for allowance in light of the foregoing remarks. Because Claim 10 depends from Claim 1, Claim 10 is likewise believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

NEW CLAIMS

New Claims 11-21 are added for consideration. Of new Claims 11-21, Claim 11 is an independent claim.

Applicants respectfully submit that the cited art of record fails to teach or suggest a guarantee including a flanged region that laterally surrounds a cam, as set forth above. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 11, as well as Claims 12-21, dependent therefrom, are in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 9 Sept. 9

By: 

Stephen T. Olson, Reg. 36,626

Matthew H. Szalach, Reg. 53,665

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

STO:MHS:ca

15017095.1

Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary

A Merriam-Webster®

MERRIAM-WEBSTER INC., *Publishers*
Springfield, Massachusetts, U.S.A.



A GENUINE MERRIAM-WEBSTER

The name *Webster* alone is no guarantee of excellence. It is used by a number of publishers and may serve mainly to mislead an unwary buyer.

A *Merriam-Webster*[®] is the registered trademark you should look for when you consider the purchase of dictionaries or other fine reference books. It carries the reputation of a company that has been publishing since 1831 and is your assurance of quality and authority.

Copyright © 1986 by Merriam-Webster Inc.

Philippines Copyright 1986 by Merriam-Webster Inc.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Main entry under title:

Webster's ninth new collegiate dictionary.

Based on Webster's third new international dictionary.

Includes index.

1. English language—Dictionaries. I. Merriam-Webster Inc.

PE1628.W5638 1985 423 84-18979

ISBN 0-87779-508-8

ISBN 0-87779-509-6 (indexed)

ISBN 0-87779-510-X (deluxe)

Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary principal copyright 1983

COLLEGIATE trademark Reg. U.S. Pat. Off.

All rights reserved. No part of this book covered by the copyrights hereon may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, taping, or information storage and retrieval systems—without written permission of the publisher.

Made in the United States of America

19RMcn86

